

**CIRCULATED JUDGMENTS-2020**

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>Name of the Judgment</b>	<b>Act</b>	<b>Citation</b>	<b>Directions/Remarks</b>
1.	<a href="#">Sushila Devi vs State of Haryana and another</a>	Civil Procedural Law	CWP 10669-2020 and CWP 15268 of 2020	Displeasure shown on the illegal encroachment by the encroachers by the land allotted by HSVP. Taluka and District Judicial Officers were directed that as and when application were moved on behalf of Chief administrator, HSVP within whose jurisdiction, suits are filed and where interim order are secured to protect the illegal encroachment committed into the property of HSVP, such applications shall be taken up on priority basis and disposed off on merits within 30 day from the date of application and wherever it is found that orders are secured on false and fabricated documents to vacate the same and also to pass an appropriate order for prosecution of said persons on priority basis.
2.	<a href="#">Rajnish vs Neha and another</a>	Family Court Act	Crl. Appeal No.730 of 2020 decided on 04.11.2020	Directions under Article 142 in dealing with application and payment of maintenance including interim maintenance under various statutes
3.	<a href="#">Julfkar vs State of Haryana</a>	NDPS Act	Crl Revision No.1125 of 2020 decided on 16.09.2020	Reference to Hon'ble the Chief Justice of Punjab & Haryana to constitute a larger bench on the point that whether it is an incomplete challan if presented without the report of the chemical examiner, forensic science laboratory
4.	<a href="#">State of Punjab vs Gurmeet Singh</a>	Recording of evidence by prosecutrix	1996(1) RCR (Crl) 553	Directions were issued to the trial court while conducting the cross-examination of victim of sexual assault, the court should be vigilant that defence counsel should not adopt strategy of continuing questioning the prosecutrix as to the detail of the rape. The court should not sit as a silent spectator while the victim of the crime is being cross examined by the defence and it should effectively control the recording of the

				evidence.
5.	<a href="#">Ashwini Kumar Upadhyay and another vs UOI</a>	CrPC	Writ Petition (Civil) 699 of 2016 order dated 16.09.2020	It was clarified that the pendency of the present matter before Hon'ble Supreme Court shall not come in the way of expeditious disposal of the cases relating to the elected representatives (sitting or former).
6.	<a href="#">Savitri vs State of Haryana and others</a>	Sentencing with rider	CRWP 5238 of 2020 decided on 19.05.2020 (P&H)	It is not open to a court inferior to the High Court and Supreme Court while awarding a sentence of life imprisonment under the Indian Penal Code to further provide for any specific term of incarceration or the end of a convict's life, or to direct that there shall be no remission, as an alternate to death penalty.
7.	<a href="#">Vijay Pal vs State of Haryana</a>	Service of the witnesses	CRM-M 25761 of 2015 decided on 27.05.2020	Guidelines issued on the topic of compelling of attendance of witnesses and execution of court processes.
8.	<a href="#">Tanuj Dhawan vs Court on its own motion</a>	Visitation rights	Writ Petition (Civil) Diary No.11058 of 2020 decided on 30.04.2020	The grievance of the petitioner is that because of lock-down, the children are unable to interact with their parents even though they have visitation rights for the purpose. If they have visitation rights, we suggest that electronic contact instead of physical visits can be substituted in these times. The parties can arrive at a mutually acceptable arrangement in this behalf. If there is an aggrieved party, the same can approach the Family Court.
9.	<a href="#">Sushila Aggarwal and others vs State (NCT Delhi) and others</a>	Section 438 CrPC	SLP (Crl) No.7281-7282 of 2017 decided on 29.01.2020	Guiding principles laid down in dealing with application u/s 438 CrPC.